



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/623,133	07/16/2003	Viktor Varsa	944-001.083-1	4482
4955 7590 06/10/2010 WARE FRESSOLA VAN DER SLUYS & ADOLPHSON, LLP BRADFORD GREEN, BUILDING 5 755 MAIN STREET, P O BOX 224 MONROE, CT 06468				
EXAMINER				
SMITH, MARCUS				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2467				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
06/10/2010		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<h2 style="margin: 0;">Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</h2>		Application No. 10/623,133	Applicant(s) VARSA ET AL.	
		Examiner MARCUS R. SMITH	Art Unit 2467	

All Participants:

(1) MARCUS R. SMITH.

(2) KENNETH LAO (Applicant's representative).

Date of Interview: 3 June 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: 120

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Independent claims 1, 13, 27 and 34

Prior art documents discussed:

Harumoto et al. (US 2002/0004840) in view of Colavito et al. (US 2003/0152094) and Radha et al. (US 6,700,893).

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner and applicant's representative discuss claim interpretation to close prosecution without going to the board of appeals. The representative submit some proposed changes to claim to overcome the examiner broadest reasonable interpretation of claim and the prior art cited in the previous office actions. The proposed claims are still under review by the examiner.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Marcus R Smith/
Examiner, Art Unit 2467

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)